

United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,472	/838,472 04/19/2001		Philip Edward Arthur Stuart	60426-252/2000P07639US01	2084
24500	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
SIEMENS	CORPORA	TION	MCCLOUD, RENATA D		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN NI 08830				2837	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ.	ħ.	
N	w	`

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/838,472	STUART, PHILIP EDWARD ARTHUR						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Renata McCloud	2837	į					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather than the period of extensions of the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12/10/2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s): <u>Claims 4-7, 12, 15-17,</u> a	and 20 .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: 21-24.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-10 and 12-20</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 11</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	,					
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		MM/M Stava Duda	der					
		RINA DUDA RIMARY EXAMINE						

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-7, 12, 15-17, and 20, are persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-7, 12, 15-17, and 20 has been withdrawn. Claims 1 and 11 remain rejected as being unpatentable over Sheehan (U.S. 5,214,254) because there is nothing in applicant's claim language that precludes the examiner from reading Sheehan as meeting the claimed limitations. Referring to applicant's argument that Sheehan does not teach a hose opening and a tube opening, Sheehan teaches an opening in which both a tube and a hose are inserted. Therefore Sheehan teaches a hose opening and a tube opening. There is nothing in the claim language that requires the tube opening to be separate from the hose opening.